

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/057,838	04/09/1998	ANTONY P. VAN DE VEN	5308-79DV	6831
7.	590 08/11/2004		EXAMI	NER
TIMOTHY J. O'SULLIVAN			WU, XIAO MIN	
P.O. BOX 3742	L SIBLEY & SAJOVEC 28		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
RALEIGH, NO	C 27627		2674	ID ··
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	77 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			71			
	Application No.	No. Applicant(s)				
· ·	09/057,838	VAN DE VEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	XIAO M. WU	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<i>May 2004</i> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		•	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>17-43 and 49-64</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-29 and 49-53</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) <u>17-29 and 49-53</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>30,35-41,43,54,59-62 and 64</u> is/are rejected.					
·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. Ints have been received in a light in the control of the	Application No n received in this National	Stage			
	•					
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/057,838 Page 1

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 09/057,838 Page 2

Art Unit: 2674

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 30, 35-39, 41, 43, 54, 59-62 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 5,583,351) in view of Tischler (US Patent No. 5,661,074).

As to claims 30, 54, Brow: a light emitting diode (LED) that emits in the blue region of the visible spectrum (13c, Fig. 5a)); a light emitting diode (13b, Fig. 5a) that emits in the green region of the visible spectrum and adjacent the blue LED; a light emitting diode (13c) that emits in the red region of the visible spectrum, and adjacent to the blue LED and the green LED. It is noted that Brown does not specifically discloses that the blue or green light emitting diode comprising a silicon carbide substrate and a group nitride active layer.

Tischler is cited to teach a LED device in which the blue or green light emitting diode comprising a silicon carbide substrate and a group nitride active layer (col. 4, lines 58-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Brown with the features of the blue LED structure as taught by Tischler because Tischler provide can provide a bright green-blue-to-ultraviolet light emitting optical device (col. 4, lines 58-60).

As to claims 35, 59, Tischler discloses group III nitride active layer comprising gallium (col. 4, line 61).

As to claims 36, 37, 60, 61, Tischler discloses that the green LED comprises a silicon carbide substrate and a group III (e.g. gallium) nitride active layer (col. 4, lines 58-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/057,838

Art Unit: 2674

As to claims 38, 39, Brown discloses that the green LED comprises a gallium phosphide (col. 3, lines 5-6).

Page 3

As to claims 41, 62, it is inherent to apply different voltage to the red, green and blue LEDs according to the display data.

As to claims 43, 64, Brown further discloses that the values of the emission wavelengths are usually to satisfy the CIE chromaticity diagram, in order to produce a good "white" color (col. 9, lines 64-67).

5. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 5,583,351) in view of Tischler (US Patent No. 5,661,074) as applied to claims 30, 35-39 above, and further in view of Camras et al. (US Patent No. 5,115,286).

It is noted that both Brown and Tischler do not disclose the group III phoshide comprises aluminum indium gallium phosphide (AllInGaP)...Camras is cited to teach a LED device includes the group III phoshide comprises aluminum indium gallium phosphide (AllInGaP, see col. 6, line 62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Brown as modified with the features of the AllInGaP for LED as taught by Camras because the AllInGaP can be formed in a transparent substrate.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 17-29, 49-53 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 31-34, 42, 55-58, 63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30, 35-41, 43-48, 54, 59-62 and 64 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiao Wu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4721.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377

xw

August 8, 2004

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2674